

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

THOMSON REUTERS ENTERPRISE CENTRE	)	
GMBH and WEST PUBLISHING	)	
CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs, Counterdefendants,	)	C.A. No. 20-613-SB
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
ROSS INTELLIGENCE INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant, Counterclaimant.	)	

**NOTICE OF DEPOSITION SUBPOENA TO  
iNOVIA INVESTMENT FUND 2015, LP**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30 and 45, Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH (“Thomson Reuters”) and West Publishing Corporation (“West”) (collectively “Plaintiffs” or “Counterdefendants”) subpoena iNovia Investment Fund 2015, LP (“iNovia”) to testify at deposition pursuant to the attached subpoena on May 2, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. CT by electronic means.

OF COUNSEL:

Dale M. Cendali (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Joshua L. Simmons (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Eric A. Loverro (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900  
dale.cendali@kirkland.com  
joshua.simmons@kirkland.com  
eric.loverro@kirkland.com

Megan L. McKeown (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
609 Main Street  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Telephone: (713) 836-3600  
Facsimile: (713) 836-3601  
megan.mckeown@kirkland.com

Daniel E. Laytin (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Christa C. Cottrell (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Cameron Ginder (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Telephone: (312) 862-2000  
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200  
dlaytin@kirkland.com  
ccottrell@kirkland.com  
cameron.ginder@kirkland.com

Date: April 7, 2023

/s/ Michael J. Flynn

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)  
Michael J. Flynn (#5333)  
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL  
LLP  
1201 North Market Street  
P.O. Box 1347  
Wilmington, Delaware 19899  
jblumenfeld@mnat.com  
mflynn@mnat.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants  
Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH and  
West Publishing Corporation

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the  
District of Delaware

Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH, et al.

*Plaintiff*

v.

Ross Intelligence, Inc.

*Defendant*

Civil Action No. 20-613-SB

**SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS  
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION**To: iNovia Investment Fund 2015, LP c/o THE COMPANY CORPORATION  
251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE, WILMINGTON DE 19808*(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)*

☒ **Production:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See Exhibit A attached.

Place: Cameron Ginder c/o  
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP  
1201 North Market Street Wilmington, Delaware 19899

Date and Time:

04/21/2023 12:00 pm

☐ **Inspection of Premises:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:

Date and Time:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 04/07/2023

CLERK OF COURT

OR

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

/s/ Cameron Ginder

*Attorney's signature*

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)* Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH, et al., who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Cameron Ginder, 300 North LaSalle, Chicago, IL 60654, cameron.ginder@kirkland.com, (312) 862-3757

**Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena**

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 20-613-SB

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)*

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: \_\_\_\_\_

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also  
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_.

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

**Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)****(c) Place of Compliance.**

**(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition.** A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
  - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
  - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

**(2) For Other Discovery.** A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

**(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.**

**(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.** A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

**(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.**

(A) **Appearance Not Required.** A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) **Objections.** A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

**(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.**

(A) **When Required.** On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) **When Permitted.** To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) **Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.** In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

**(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.**

**(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) **Documents.** A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) **Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.** If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) **Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.** The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) **Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.** The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

**(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.**

(A) **Information Withheld.** A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) **Information Produced.** If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

**(g) Contempt.**

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

# EXHIBIT A

### **DEFINITIONS**

Unless otherwise defined, all words and phrases used herein shall be accorded their usual meaning and shall be interpreted in their common, ordinary sense. Should iNovia Investment Fund 2015, LP (“iNovia”) not understand the meaning of any term, it is requested to immediately seek clarification through Plaintiffs’ counsel. As used in these Requests, the words set forth below shall be defined as follows:

1. The term “Agreements” means and refers to written and oral agreements and contracts.

2. “All,” “any,” and “each” shall each be construed as encompassing any and all.

3. “Communicated” or “Communication(s)” should be interpreted in their broadest sense to include without limitation all oral or written communications, including any writings, emails, or other electronically stored information as that term is defined by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a).

4. The terms “Concerning,” or “Referring to ” should be construed in the broadest possible sense to mean analyzing, citing, commenting upon, comprising, concerning, consisting of, constituting, containing, dealing with, describing, discussing, embodying, evidencing, identifying, involved with, mentioning, monitoring, referring to, reflecting, responding to, pertaining to, showing, stating, summarizing, or bearing any logical or factual connection with the matter discussed, as these terms are understood in the broadest sense.

5. “Customer” should be interpreted to the broadest extent possible, and includes any natural person, firm, law firm, corporation, partnership, group, association, organization, governmental entity, or business entity and should be interpreted to include all potential and actual Customers.

6. “Document(s)” means the broadest possible sense to mean or refer to anything which may be within the meaning of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34, and includes without limitation any written, printed, typed, photocopied, photographed, recorded or otherwise reproduced or stored communication or representation, whether comprised of letters, words, numbers, data, pictures, sounds or symbols, or any combination thereof. “Document” and “Documents” includes without limitation, communications, correspondence, memoranda, notes, records, letters, envelopes, telegrams, messages, studies, analyses, contracts, agreements, working papers, project management charts (i.e. Gantt charts), PowerPoint decks, accounts, analytical records, reports and/or summaries of investigations, press releases, comparisons, books, calendars, diaries, articles, magazines, newspapers, booklets, brochures, pamphlets, circulars, bulletins, notices, drawings, diagrams, instructions, notes of minutes of meetings or communications, electronic mail/messages and/or “e-mail,” text messages, social media communications, voice mail messages, instant messaging, Slack Messages, questionnaires, surveys, charts, graphs, photographs, films, tapes, disks, data cells, print-outs of information stored or maintained by electronic data processing or word processing equipment, all other data compilations from which information can be obtained (by translation, if necessary, by You through detection devices into usable form), including, without limitation, electromagnetically sensitive storage media such as CDs, DVDs, memory sticks, disks and magnetic tapes, and any preliminary versions, as well as drafts or revisions of any of the foregoing, whether produced or authored by a Defendant/Counterclaimant or anyone else. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate Document within the meaning of this term.

7. The terms “iNovia,” “You,” or “Your” mean and refer to iNovia Investment Fund 2015, LP (“iNovia”), and any of its former or current parents, subsidiaries, predecessors,



successors, affiliated entities, controlled entities, joint ventures, related entities, agencies, attorneys, employees, interns, representatives, assigns, directors, or officers and all other persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

8. “Including” means not limited to.

9. For purposes of these topics, “Legal Search Platform” shall have the same meaning set forth and defined by ROSS in its First Set of Counterclaim Requests for Production to Plaintiffs, which was defined as, “any websites or applications where legal research can be performed, whether or not it costs a fee to use the website or application.” This includes but is not limited to any legal research product that allows users to search through a Public Law Database using a Legal Search Tool.

10. For purposes of these topics, “Legal Search Tools” shall have the same meaning set forth and defined by ROSS in its First Set of Counterclaim Requests for Production to Plaintiffs, which was defined as, “means any application, program, method, system, tool, or technology that facilitates, supports, augments, or otherwise contributes to any search, exploration, or analysis of a Public Law Database.” Plaintiffs do not adopt, concede, or confirm the accuracy of any purported or disputed factual or legal conclusions incorporated into ROSS’s definition of “Legal Search Tools.”

11. The term “Materials” means and refers to all Documents, products, tools, data, knowledge, information, and resources.

12. “Person” means any natural person, firm, law firm, corporation, partnership, group, association, organization, governmental entity, or business entity.

13. For purposes of these topics, “Public Law Database” shall have the same meaning set forth and defined by ROSS in its First Set of Counterclaim Requests for Production to Plaintiffs,

which was defined as, “Public Law Database” means “a collection, or separate digital collections, in any digital form, format, arrangement, or organization whatsoever, that includes public text documents such as judicial opinions, administrative rulings, or legislative enactments such as statutes, public ordinances, rules, [and] regulations.” Plaintiffs do not adopt, concede, or confirm the accuracy of any purported or disputed factual or legal conclusions incorporated into ROSS’s definition of “Public Law Database.”

14. “Related to” is used in the broadest possible sense and mean, in whole or in part, addressing, analyzing, concerning, constituting, containing, commenting, in connection with, dealing, discussing, describing, embodying, evidencing, identifying, pertaining, referring, reflecting, regarding, reporting, stating, or summarizing or bearing any logical or factual connection with the matter discussed, as these terms are understood in the broadest sense.

15. The term “ROSS” means and refers to Defendant and Counterclaimant ROSS Intelligence Inc., and any of its former or current parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, affiliated entities, controlled entities, joint ventures, related entities, agents, attorneys, employees, interns, representatives, assigns, directors, or officers and all other Persons acting or purporting to act on their behalf, including without limitation ROSS Intelligence, Inc., the Canadian entity, Andrew Arruda, and Jimoh Ovbiagele.

### **TOPICS OF EXAMINATION**

The designated person(s) shall be prepared to testify on behalf of iNovia regarding the following topics:

1. iNovia’s investment in ROSS, including iNovia’s reasons for investing in ROSS and any diligence iNovia conducted before investing in ROSS.
2. iNovia’s understanding about the product ROSS intended to develop, market, and sell.

3. iNovia's analysis and assessment of Customer demand for ROSS's Legal Search Platform.

4. iNovia's analysis and assessment of the market for Legal Search Platforms, including how iNovia's analysis of the market for Legal Search Platforms related to its decision to invest in ROSS.

5. iNovia's analysis and assessment about Customer demand for (i) a Public Law Database as a separate product from Legal Search Tools, or (ii) Legal Search Tools separate from a Public Law Database.

6. Any market analyses that iNovia conducted or relied on in deciding whether to invest in ROSS.

7. iNovia's analysis and assessment of ROSS's Legal Search Platform.

8. iNovia's Communications with ROSS.

9. The nature of iNovia's relationship with ROSS from January 1, 2014 to present.

10. iNovia's involvement in any attempt by ROSS to acquire third-party funding or identify a third-party merger, acquisition, or partnership, including feedback iNovia received about ROSS from any such third parties.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 7, 2023, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing to all registered participants.

I further certify that I caused copies of the foregoing document to be served on April 7, 2023, upon the following in the manner indicated:

David E. Moore, Esquire  
Bindu Palapura, Esquire  
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP  
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor  
1313 North Market Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
*Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant*

*VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL*

Mark A. Klapow, Esquire  
Lisa Kimmel, Esquire  
Crinesha B. Berry, Esquire  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
*Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant*

*VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL*

Gabriel M. Ramsey, Esquire  
Jacob Canter, Esquire  
Warrington Parker, Esquire  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
3 Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
*Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant*

*VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL*

*/s/ Michael J. Flynn*

---

Michael J. Flynn (#5333)